

Charging ahead, unevenly

27-Apr-2026 10:47 GMT

Matthew Beecham

S&P Global

Supply Chain and Technology, Automotive

Q&A with ChargePoint

The electrification of transport is no longer constrained by vehicles but by the systems that support them. As electric cars and trucks proliferate, attention has shifted to the charging networks that must underpin mass adoption. What was once a question of rollout is now becoming one of performance: reliability, interoperability and economics are emerging as the industry's defining challenges.



Source: Shutterstock

Public charging, in particular, remains uneven. Drivers encounter incompatible systems, inconsistent uptime and opaque pricing, while behind the scenes, operators face grid constraints, long connection queues and uncertain returns on investment. The result? A sector that is expanding quickly, but not always coherently, where scale exposes fragmentation.

The next phase of growth will depend less on hardware deployment and more on coordination — across standards, utilities, software platforms and business models. As power demand rises, especially from heavy-duty vehicles, the limitations of existing infrastructure are becoming harder to ignore. Grid capacity, rather than charger availability, is increasingly emerging as the binding constraint.

At the same time, new technologies — from vehicle-to-grid (V2G) integration to AI-driven maintenance — promise to improve efficiency and utilization. Yet many remain commercially immature, held back by weak incentives or incomplete regulatory frameworks. Even as hardware costs fall, competitive advantage is shifting toward software, operations and customer experience.

Against this backdrop, the industry faces a set of practical questions: how to make charging networks work seamlessly, how to deploy them faster and how to ensure they are used efficiently once built. To explore these issues, we spoke with Rick Wilmer, chief executive of ChargePoint.



The following is an edited transcript of the conversation.

S&P Global Mobility: What is the single biggest interoperability blocker today and what would fix it fastest — standards, regulation or commercial agreements?

Rick Wilmer: The biggest interoperability blocker is fragmentation across hardware and software systems.

Charging networks, vehicles and apps often use different standards and don't integrate cleanly,

which leads to inconsistent user experiences and reliability issues.

The fastest way to fix this would be a combination of widely adopted technical standards and commercial agreements that ensure systems work together. Regulation can help enforce baseline compatibility, but industry alignment on common standards and integrations is the most effective path.

What reliability metric should become the industry’s audited “north star” KPI for public fast charging?

The industry’s “north star” KPI should be station uptime — measured as the percentage of time each charging port is operational.

Improving this depends on better monitoring, predictive maintenance, and consistent installation and servicing practices. Metrics should be independently audited and standardized, so reliability is measured the same way across networks.

How do you cut time-to-power for new charging sites in markets where grid connection queues are now the constraint?

The main constraint is grid connection delays. To reduce time-to-power, developers need early coordination with utilities and local authorities, better up-front planning and faster permitting. Working with experienced partners like Eaton can also streamline deployment and reduce delays.

What is the binding constraint for scaling high-power truck charging over the next two years: site power availability, tariffs or operational integration?

Distribution capacity and grid upgrade timelines are the dominant limiting factors. Heavy-duty commercial EVs need significantly more power than passenger EVs, and so installing Megawatt Charging Systems requires significantly more power too. Existing industrial estates, highway depots and service areas were simply not built with the required level of power available.

What needs to change for V2G to reach commercial scale: standards alignment, OEM participation, market access or utility tariffs?

Vehicle-to-grid technology remains largely in the pilot phase because the supporting infrastructure, vehicles and regulatory frameworks have not caught up with the technology we have available today. While technical standards and automaker involvement are slowly aligning, the lack of a standardized financial incentive makes adoption difficult for the average consumer. Most current models still treat electric vehicles as passive loads rather than mobile energy assets, offering no clear path for owners to monetize the power they send back to the grid.

Do you expect stationary storage to become standard at high-power sites, or will tariff reform remove the need?

While tariff reform and smart charging are crucial for grid stability, they won’t eliminate the need for physical storage. That is going to be a vital component of any network to manage extreme, concentrated peak loads. In addition to that, there are many use cases where stationary storage will play a vital role, such as in fleets. Together with our partner, Eaton, we are developing technology based on the Express architecture that will unlock low-cost charging in those scenarios, which will require on-site renewable energy generation and storage.

As hardware commoditizes, what will remain defensible differentiation for charging

networks: software, operations or customer relationships?

Defensible differentiation will come mainly from software, operations and customer experience — not hardware alone.

As hardware becomes more standardized, advantages will come from reliable operations, integrated software (for monitoring, billing and grid management) and a seamless user experience. Strong customer relationships and service quality will also matter, but they depend on consistent performance and ease of use.

How are you planning to sustainably improve charger utilization across your network — particularly at underused and rural sites — without compromising customer experience or grid constraints?

Improve utilization through better site selection, visibility, and scalable deployment. This means doing thorough site evaluation up front, making chargers easier to find via apps and in-vehicle systems, and adding capacity incrementally as demand increases.

What is the current utilization rate of your network, and what utilization benchmarks are you targeting over the next 3 to 5 years?

This is a commercially sensitive metric, so not something we share, and rates vary depending on several factors, including location and pricing. However, we have recently reported that more than 100,000 AC ports recorded time utilization above 30% at least one day in January 2026, indicating over seven hours of continuous use per day across workplace, retail and other locations. What I can also tell you is that we've delivered more than 424 million charges all-time, and the growth rate of charging sessions we enable continues to outpace growth in new ports, indicating a need we believe for more charging infrastructure.

How are you integrating AI into your charging operations today, and what measurable results do you expect from its adoption?

AI plays a role in proactively scheduling maintenance. Instead of waiting for a charger to break, AI analyzes real-time data such as power fluctuations, temperature changes and connector wear to predict failures before they happen. The result is greater uptime for the driver. We also use AI for dynamic pricing optimization and AI data assistance as a support tool for station operators and drivers.

What is your take on the proposal of using 100% made-in-America chargers to qualify for NEVI incentives? Do you see it as a short-term pain for a long-term gain or a protectionist measure that could harm the charging industry's growth in the future?

Domestic manufacturing can strengthen supply chain resilience, but there is a need for regulatory clarity and realistic transition timelines for this proposal to work. Abrupt policy shifts risk slowing deployment.

Can you shed some light on your growing product portfolio across hardware, software and services, and what gaps or customer pain points are shaping your road map?

We've had a very busy 12 months, and it has been a productive year defined by our commitment to innovation and technological leaps. For example, we are introducing a new Level 2 as well as a new DC [direct current] architecture for North America and Europe. The DC platform, called Express, was developed in collaboration with Eaton and advances bidirectional power and V2X [vehicle-to-

everything] capabilities, showcasing our leadership in next-generation charging solutions.

To enhance reliability and security, we launched ChargePoint Protect, featuring the industry's first cut-resistant cable, and Safeguard Care for proactive monitoring. Our Omni Port offering now allows any EV in North America to charge at the same spot regardless of connector type, while ChargePoint Premier Care provides dedicated operational support to optimize business results.

CONTACTS

The Americas

+1 877 863 1306

Europe, Middle East & Africa

+44 20 7176 1234

Asia-Pacific

+852 2533 3565

www.spglobal.com/mobility

Copyright © 2025 S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

These materials, including any software, data, processing technology, index data, ratings, credit-related analysis, research, model, software or other application or output described herein, or any part thereof (collectively the “Property”) constitute the proprietary and confidential information of S&P Global Inc its affiliates (each and together “S&P Global”) and/or its third party provider licensors. S&P Global on behalf of itself and its third-party licensors reserves all rights in and to the Property. These materials have been prepared solely for information purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable.

Any copying, reproduction, reverse-engineering, modification, distribution, transmission or disclosure of the Property, in any form or by any means, is strictly prohibited without the prior written consent of S&P Global. The Property shall not be used for any unauthorized or unlawful purposes. S&P Global’s opinions, statements, estimates, projections, quotes and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security, and there is no obligation on S&P Global to update the foregoing or any other element of the Property. S&P Global may provide index data. Direct investment in an index is not possible. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. The Property and its composition and content are subject to change without notice.

THE PROPERTY IS PROVIDED ON AN “AS IS” BASIS. NEITHER S&P GLOBAL NOR ANY THIRD PARTY PROVIDERS (TOGETHER, “S&P GLOBAL PARTIES”) MAKE ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE PROPERTY’S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE PROPERTY WILL OPERATE IN ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION, NOR ANY WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO ITS ACCURACY, AVAILABILITY, COMPLETENESS OR TIMELINESS, OR TO THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED FROM THE USE OF THE PROPERTY. S&P GLOBAL PARTIES SHALL NOT IN ANY WAY BE LIABLE TO ANY RECIPIENT FOR ANY INACCURACIES, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS REGARDLESS OF THE CAUSE. Without limiting the foregoing, S&P Global Parties shall have no liability whatsoever to any recipient, whether in contract, in tort (including negligence), under warranty, under statute or otherwise, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by any recipient as a result of or in connection with the Property, or any course of action determined, by it or any third party, whether or not based on or relating to the Property. In no event shall S&P Global be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees or losses (including without limitation lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Property even if advised of the possibility of such damages. The Property should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

The S&P Global logo is a registered trademark of S&P Global, and the trademarks of S&P Global used within this document or materials are protected by international laws. Any other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

The inclusion of a link to an external website by S&P Global should not be understood to be an endorsement of that website or the website’s owners (or their products/services). S&P Global is not responsible for either the content or output of external websites. S&P Global keeps certain activities of its divisions separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other S&P Global divisions. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process. S&P Global may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P Global reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P Global Ratings’ public ratings and analyses are made available on its sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge) and www.capitaliq.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global publications and third party redistributors.